

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

Lora Workman,

Case No. 3:20-cv-1821

Plaintiff

v.

MEMORANDUM OPINION  
AND ORDER

Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant

Before me is the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) of Magistrate Judge Darrell A. Clay filed on November 15, 2021. (Doc. No. 20). Under the relevant statute:

Within [fourteen (14)] days after being served a copy of these proposed Findings and Recommendation, any party who wishes to object must file and serve written objections or further appeal is waived.

*United States v. Campbell*, 261 F.3d 628, 631-32 (6th Cir. 2001) (citation omitted); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (effective Dec. 1, 2009); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). In this case, the fourteen-day window for objections has elapsed, and no objections have been filed.

Following review of Judge Clay’s R & R, I adopt it in its entirety as the Order of the Court. I agree with Judge Clay’s conclusions that the ALJ properly considered the medical opinion evidence and Plaintiff’s subjective symptoms when evaluating Plaintiff’s residual functional capacity (“RFC”) and that the ALJ’s RFC determination is supported by substantial evidence. Judge Clay also correctly concluded Plaintiff’s due process rights were not violated in the course of the administrative proceedings. Therefore, the Commissioner’s decision is affirmed, and this case is dismissed.

So Ordered.

s/ Jeffrey J. Helmick  
United States District Judge